## Photoelectron Spectra and Reactivity of Methyl(tertiary phosphine)--platinum and -gold Complexes

By John Behan, Robert A. W. Johnstone, and Richard J. Puddephatt\*†

(Robert Robinson Laboratories and †Donnan Laboratories, The University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX)

Summary Photoelectron spectra are reported for some methyl(tertiary phosphine) derivatives of platinum(II), gold(I), and gold(III), and it is shown that the data are useful in interpreting trends in chemical reactivity of the complexes.

THE reactivity of alkylplatinum(II) and alkylgold(I) towards oxidative addition reactions and other reactions with electrophiles has been much studied, and the results have often been interpreted in terms of the electronic structures of the complexes.<sup>1,2</sup> However, no direct investigations of electronic energy levels in these compounds using u.v. photoelectron spectroscopy have been reported. We now report that many alkylplatinum and alkylgold complexes are sufficiently volatile to give good photoelectron (p.e.) spectra and that the results are of considerable interest in interpreting the reactivity of these complexes. Some results are presented in Tables I and 2. The

Me<sub>3</sub>P. There is evidence from u.v. and n.m.r. spectra and bond angles in tertiary phosphines which supports this series, although different results are found for tertiary amines.7 This series is also supported by trends in the  $\sigma$ (MeAu) and 5d ionisation potentials for complexes [Me<sub>3</sub>AuL] (Table 2), which show that the orbital energies follow the series  $L = MePh_2P > Me_2PhP > Me_3P$ , and for isostructural platinum(II) complexes [PtXYL<sub>2</sub>] for which the orbital energies always follow the series  $L = Me_{\bullet}PhP >$ Me<sub>3</sub>P. The reactivity towards oxidative addition of complexes such as trans-[IrCl(CO)L<sub>2</sub>], cis-[PtMe<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>], and [AuMeL] generally follows the series  $L = Me_3P > Me_2PhP$  $> MePh_2P > Ph_3P$  and, in the past, it has not been possible to determine whether the order is due to electronic or steric effects of the ligands, L.<sup>1,6,8</sup> Now, since the p.e. data indicate that the opposite series is expected from electronic effects of L, it is clear that steric effects are dominant in this case.

TABLE 1

Vertical ionisation potentials (eV) of some platinum(11) complexes<sup>a</sup>

Assignment 5 <i>dxy</i> 5 <i>dxz</i> ,5 <i>dyz</i> ,5 <i>dz</i> ı	 	$\begin{cases} {}^{7\cdot [\mathrm{PtI}_2\mathrm{L}_2]} \\ {}^{7\cdot 49} \\ {}^{8\cdot 13} \\ {}^{8\cdot 69} \end{cases}$	$ \begin{cases} t-[PtIMeL_2] \\ 7.33 \\ 7.80 \\ 8.23 \\ 8.45 \end{cases} $	$\begin{cases} t-[PtCl_{2}L_{2}] \\ 7\cdot86 \\ \begin{cases} 8\cdot43 \\ 8\cdot72 \end{cases}$	$ \begin{array}{c} t-[PtClMeL_{2}] \\ & 7 \cdot 76 \\ \begin{cases} 8 \cdot 20 \\ 8 \cdot 48 \\ 8 \cdot 73 \end{cases} $	$\begin{cases} c-\text{PtMe}_{2}\text{L}_{2} \\ 7 \cdot 68 \\ 8 \cdot 08 \\ 9 \cdot 36 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} \text{$t$-PtI_2L'_2$} \\ & 7\cdot39 \\ \begin{cases} 7\cdot96 \\ 8\cdot39 \end{cases}$	$\begin{matrix} t\text{-PtIMeL'}_{\texttt{2}} \\ 7 \cdot 12 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 7 \cdot 51 \\ 8 \cdot 22 \end{array} \right. \end{matrix}$	$\begin{cases} t-PtBrMeL'_{2} \\ 7.43 \\ 7.81 \\ 8.08 \end{cases}$	t-PtClMeL′₃ 7·54 €8·21	$\begin{cases} c-\text{PtMe}_{2}\text{L'}_{2} \\ & 7.43 \\ 7.99 \\ 8.24 \end{cases}$
$\pi(Ph)$ $\sigma(MePt)$ $\sigma(PtP)^{b}$	 		9.23	{9.71 10.01	9.29		9.25	9.28	9.18	9•21	9·28 9·13
$\pi(\mathrm{Halogen})^{b}$	••	$\begin{cases} 9.57 \\ 10.11 \\ 10.47 \end{cases}$	9·64 11·64	${ \begin{cases} 10.35 \\ 11.06 \\ 11.90 \end{cases} }$	10.07 11.85	(					

<sup>a</sup> HeI spectra recorded using a Perkin-Elmer P.S. 16 spectrometer.  $L = PMe_3$ ,  $L' = PMe_2Ph$ ; t = trans, c = cis. <sup>b</sup> Considerable overlap of peaks occurred; assignments are tentative. For  $L' = PMe_2Ph$ , bands obscured by phenyl bands.

assignments, which are based on results from photoelectron spectra of other alkyl derivatives of metals,<sup>3</sup> on studies of energy levels by electronic spectroscopy,<sup>4</sup> and on M.O. calculations,<sup>5</sup> will be discussed more fully elsewhere.

It has generally been assumed that the  $\sigma$ -donor ability of tertiary phosphine ligands follows the series  $L = Me_3P > Me_2PhP > MePh_2P > PPh_3$ , decreasing as the more electronegative phenyl groups replace methyl groups.<sup>6</sup> However, the vertical ionisation potentials (eV) for the lone pair of electrons on phosphorus are Me\_3P, 8.58; Me\_2PhP, 8.37; MePh\_2P, 8.07; and Ph\_3P, 8.1, suggesting that the donor ability series should be Ph\_3P  $\simeq$  MePh\_2P > Me\_2PhP >

The photoelectron spectra are also relevant to the problem of the mechanism of cleavage of alkyl-transition metal bonds by electrophiles. Such reactions can occur either by direct attack of the electrophile at the metal-carbon bond ( $S_{\rm E}2$  mechanism) or by initial attack at the metal giving an oxidative addition-reductive elimination sequence. An electrophile is expected to attack at the highest occupied M.O., which is a 5d orbital in methyl-(tertiary phosphine)platinum(II) complexes but a  $\sigma$  (Me-Au) orbital in both methyl-gold(I) and -gold(III) complexes. Hence, the  $S_{\rm E}2$  mechanism is predicted for electrophilic cleavage of methyl-gold bonds but the oxidative addition-

IABLE 2         Vertical ionisation potentials (eV) of some gold(I )and gold(III) complexes <sup>a</sup>								
Assignment	[Me <sub>3</sub> Au(PMe <sub>3</sub> )]	$[Me_{3}Au(PMe_{2}Ph)]$	[Me <sub>3</sub> Au(PMePh <sub>2</sub> )]	[MeAu(PMe <sub>3</sub> )]				
$\sigma({ m MeAu}) = \pi({ m Ph})$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}7{\cdot}80\\8{\cdot}63\end{array}\right.$	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 7.69 \\ 8.53 \\ 9.49 \end{array}\right.$	$\begin{cases} 7.64 \\ 8.34 \\ 9.48 \end{cases}$	8.27				
5d	$\begin{cases} 10.18\\ 10.70\\ 11.06 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 9.99\\ 10.61\\ 10.97 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 9.98\\ 10.56\\ 10.98 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 9.34\\ 9.95\\ 10.63\\ 11.45 \end{cases}$				

• Attempts to obtain p.e. spectra of halogenogold and alkyl(halogeno)gold complexes were unsuccessful owing to their thermal decomposition.

reductive elimination mechanism for cleavage of methylplatinum bonds in the tertiary phosphine complexes. This is precisely what is observed experimentally.<sup>9</sup>

Other points of general interest are that the lowest ionisation energy for [Me<sub>3</sub>Au<sup>111</sup>PMe<sub>3</sub>] is actually less than that for [MeAu<sup>I</sup>PMe<sub>3</sub>] (no doubt owing to the presence of mutually trans methyl groups in the former compound<sup>5</sup>), and that the ionisation energy for trans-[PtXYL<sub>2</sub>] appears to be less than that for the *cis*-isomer. This could not be proved directly but is strongly indicated by the series of lowest ionisation energies cis-[PtMe<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] > trans-[PtI<sub>2</sub>-

 $(PMe_3)_2$  > trans- $[PtIMeL_2]$ , and for several similar series (Table 1). Thus, replacement of a halogen by a methyl group in trans- $[PtI_2L_2]$  leads to a decrease in ionisation energy as expected, and the ionisation energy for trans- $[PtMe_2L_2]$  would be expected to be lower still. However, the complex cannot be prepared and the ionisation potential of the isomeric cis-[PtMe<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub>] is higher than that for trans- $[PtI_2L_2]$ .

(Received, 28th February 1978; Com. 211.)

<sup>1</sup> A. Tamaki and J. K. Kochi, J. Organometallic Chem., 1974, 64, 411.

<sup>1</sup> A. Tamaki and J. K. Kochi, J. Organometallic Chem., 1974, 64, 411.
<sup>2</sup> J. K. Jawad and R. J. Puddephatt, J.C.S. Dalton, 1974, 1466.
<sup>3</sup> J. C. Green and S. E. Jackson, J.C.S. Dalton, 1976, 1698; J. H. D. Eland, Internat. J. Mass Spectrometry Ion Phys., 1970, 4, 37; P. Burroughs, S. Evans, A. Hamnett, A. F. Orchard, and N. V. Richardson, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1974, 921; G. M. Bancroft, D. K. Creber, M. A. Ratner, J. W. Moskowitz, and S. Topiol, Chem. Phys. Letters, 1977, 50, 233.
<sup>4</sup> W. R. Mason and H. B. Gray, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5721; H. Isci and W. R. Mason, Inorg. Chem., 1975, 14, 905; P. L. Goggin, R. J. Knight, L. Sindellari, and L. M. Venanzi, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1971, 5, 62.
<sup>5</sup> S. Komiya, T. A. Albright, R. Hoffman, and J. K. Kochi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 7255.
<sup>6</sup> A. J. Deeming, M. T. P. International Review of Science, Inorganic Chemistry, Series One, Butterworths, London, 1972, Ch. 4.
<sup>7</sup> W. R. Cullen and R. M. Hochstrasser, J. Mol. Spectrometry, 1960, 5, 118; H. Schindlbauer, Monatsch., 1963, 64, 99; J. R. Van Wazer, C. F. Callis, J. N. Shoolery, and R. C. Jones, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 5715; W. C. Davies and H. W. Addis, J. Chem. Soc., 1937, 1622.

Soc., 1937, 1622.

<sup>8</sup> M. Kubota, G. W. Kiefer, M. R. Ishikawa, and K. E. Bencala, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1973, 7, 195.

<sup>9</sup> S. Komiya and J. K. Kochi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 7599; B. J. Gregory and C. K. Ingold, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1969, 276; J. K. Jawad and R. J. Puddephatt, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1977, 892.